

INDEPENDENT NOVEL: ANNOTATIONS & END-COMMENTARY RUBRIC

CATEGORY	EXCELLENT (5)		PROFICIENT (4)		SATISFACTORY (3)		POOR (2)		LIMITED (1)
ANNOTATIONS —PHYSICAL NOTATIONS	Text is thoroughly marked throughout.		Text is marked throughout though minor gaps exist.		Text is adequately marked though occasional gaps exist.		Text is minimally marked throughout.		There is little to no marking on the text.
GROUP #1	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #2	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #3	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #4	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #5	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
ANNOTATIONS —QUALITY OF NOTATIONS	Notations appear in the margins that indicate an insightful and perceptive response to the words / phrases / paragraphs marked.		Notations appear in the margins that indicate a thoughtful and relevant response to the words / phrases / paragraphs marked.		Notations appear in the margins that indicate an adequate and logical response to the words / phrases / paragraphs marked.		Notations appear in the margins that indicate a superficial and / or ambiguous response to the words / phrases / paragraphs marked.		Notations appear in the margins that indicate an inappropriate and / or unrelated response to the words / phrases / paragraphs marked.
GROUP #1	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #2	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #3	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #4	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #5	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
END-COMMENTARY —ANALYSIS & EVALUATION	End discussion is sophisticated in its analysis and evaluation of the text.		End discussion is thoughtful in its analysis and evaluation of the text.		End discussion of the text makes clear connections, but is general in its analysis.		End discussion of the text does not move beyond the literal text.		End commentary is incomplete or undeveloped.
GROUP #1	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #2	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #3	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #4	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #5	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
END-COMMENTARY —CONNECTIONS	Inter-textual and outside connections are insightful and perceptive.		Inter-textual and outside connections are thoughtful and relevant.		Inter-textual and outside connections are made, but are general.		Inter-textual and outside connections are superficial and / or ambiguous.		Inter-textual and outside connections are inappropriate, irrelevant, and / or undeveloped.
GROUP #1	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #2	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #3	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #4	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
GROUP #5	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
COMMENTS	Name _____							GRADE: _____ / 100	